

# Betti numbers of unordered configuration spaces of a punctured torus

Yifeng Huang

University of Michigan

Sep. 23, 2020

(joint work with G. Cheong)

# Introduction

For a topological space  $X$  and an integer  $n \geq 0$ , define the **ordered configuration space** as

$$F(X, n) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n : x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$$

and the **(unordered) configuration space** as the topological quotient

$$\text{Conf}^n(X) := F(X, n)/S_n.$$

An important subject in topology is to study the structure of  $\text{Conf}^n(X)$ . Various invariants, including the homotopy groups and the singular (co)homology groups, give rich information.

## General Question

Given a smooth complex variety  $X$ , can we compute the  $i$ -th singular Betti number  $h^i(\text{Conf}^n(X)) := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(\text{Conf}^n(X); \mathbb{Q})$  for all integers  $i$  and  $n$ ?

## What do configuration spaces look like?

$$F(X, n) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n : x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$$

$$\text{Conf}^n(X) := F(X, n)/S_n.$$

First of all,  $\text{Conf}^0(X) = \{pt\}$ ,  $\text{Conf}^1(X) = X$ .

For  $n \geq 2$ , it is helpful to consider the **symmetric product**

$$\text{Sym}^n(X) := X^n/S_n = \{\text{multisets } \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} : x_i \in X\}.$$

Then  $\text{Conf}^n(X)$  is an open subspace of  $\text{Sym}^n(X)$  whose complement is the set of multisets with at least some repeated elements.

For  $X = \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $\text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^n$  via

$$\underline{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \mapsto (e_1(\underline{x}), \dots, e_n(\underline{x}))$$

where  $e_i(\underline{x})$  is the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial.

# What do configuration spaces look like?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}^n \\ \underline{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} &\mapsto (e_1(\underline{x}), \dots, e_n(\underline{x})) \end{aligned}$$

## Example ( $\text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C})$ )

- 1  $n = 2$ . The isomorphism goes  $\{a, b\} \mapsto (-(a+b), ab)$ . The diagonal in  $\text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{C})$  is sent to the parabola  $y = x^2/4$ . So  $\text{Conf}^2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^2 - \{x\text{-axis}\} \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Betti numbers are  $h^0 = h^1 = 1$ .
- 2  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  minus the “discriminant hypersurface”, i.e., the set of  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  such that  $\text{disc}(x^n - e_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n e_n) = 0$ . If  $n = 3$ , the discriminant hypersurface is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , but embedded in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  in an interesting way!

# History

$$F(X, n) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n : x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$$

$$\text{Conf}^n(X) := F(X, n)/S_n.$$

## Theorem (Arnol'd '69)

*If  $X = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $h^0(\text{Conf}^n(X)) = 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $h^1(\text{Conf}^n(X)) = 1$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , and  $h^i(\text{Conf}^n(X)) = 0$  in all other cases.*

## Theorem (Kim '94, Vakil–Wood '15)

*If  $X$  is  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $r \geq 0$  points removed, then*

$$\sum_{i, n \geq 0} h^i(\text{Conf}^n(X))(-u)^i t^n = \frac{1}{(1+ut)^r} \frac{1-ut^2}{1-t}$$

## Theorem (Drummond-Cole–Knudsen '17)

*If  $X = \mathbb{P}^1(\cong S^2)$ , then  $h^0(\text{Conf}^n(X)) = 1 = h^2(\text{Conf}^1(X))$  for  $n \geq 0$ ,  $h^3(\text{Conf}^n(X)) = 1$  for  $n \geq 3$ , and  $h^i(\text{Conf}^n(X)) = 0$  in all other cases.*

## Theorem (Drummond-Cole–Knudsen '17)

*If  $X$  is a smooth projective algebraic curve of genus  $g$  with  $r$  points removed, then there is an explicit formula for  $h^i(\text{Conf}^n(X))$  in terms of  $g, r, i, n$  involving binomial coefficients.*

... but no “readable” and “comprehensible” pattern has been discovered.

# Main Result

## Theorem (Cheong–H.)

Let  $X = E - P$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve and  $P$  is a point of  $E$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i h^i(\text{Conf}^n(X)) u^{w(i)} t^n = \frac{(1-ut)^2(1-u^2t^2)}{(1-t)(1-u^3t^2)^2},$$

where

$$w(i) = \begin{cases} 3i/2, & i \text{ is even;} \\ (3i-1)/2, & i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The novelty is the curious pattern of  $w(i)$  that puts the Betti numbers in a rational function:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	...
$w(i)$	0	1	3	4	6	7	...

Since  $w(i)$  is strictly increasing, the above formula computes all  $h^i(\text{Conf}^n(X))$ .

## Main Result

To see how the formula works, compare

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(1-ut)^2(1-u^2t^2)}{(1-t)(1-u^3t^2)^2} &= 1 + (1-2u)t + (1-2u+2u^3)t^2 \\ &+ (1-2u+4u^3-4u^4)t^3 \\ &+ (1-2u+4u^3-5u^4+3u^6)t^4 \\ &+ (1-2u+4u^3-5u^4+7u^6-6u^7)t^5 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

and the following table for  $h^i(\text{Conf}^n(E-P))$ .

$n \setminus i$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1					
1	1	2				
2	1	2	2			
3	1	2	4	4		
4	1	2	4	5	3	
5	1	2	4	5	7	6



## Main Ingredients of Proof: 1. Cut and Paste

We have combinatorial knowledge about configuration spaces in terms of how they are made up of simpler varieties via “cut and paste”. Formally say  $[X] = [U] + [Z] \in K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  if  $U$  is an open subvariety of  $X$  and  $Z = X \setminus U$ . Here  $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$  is called the Grothendieck ring of complex varieties.

### Theorem (Vakil–Wood '15)

*For any variety  $X$ , we have*

$$[K_X](t) = \frac{[Z_X](t)}{[Z_X](t^2)} \in K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})[[t]],$$

*where  $[K_X](t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\text{Conf}^n(X)]t^n$  and  $[Z_X](t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\text{Sym}^n(X)]t^n$ .*

For example, at degree 2, the formula is saying  $[\text{Conf}^2(X)] = [\text{Sym}^2(X)] - [X]$ .

## Main Ingredients of Proof: 1. Cut and Paste

### Theorem (Vakil–Wood '15)

(Summary)  $[\text{Conf}^n(X)]$  can be expressed as a polynomial in  $[X], [\text{Sym}^2(X)], \dots, [\text{Sym}^n(X)]$  via an easy formula.

### Upshot

We are able to build unordered configuration spaces from symmetric spaces, whose cohomology is well-studied by Macdonald '75, Cheah '94.

### Problem

Betti numbers do not interact well with cut-and-paste. In other words,  $Z = X \setminus U$  does not imply  $h^i(Z) = h^i(X) - h^i(U)$ . We need to study a finer structure of singular cohomology groups.

## Main Ingredients of Proof: 2. Mixed Hodge Theory

Recall the classical Hodge decomposition

$$H^i(X; \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{p, q \geq 0 \\ p+q=i}} H^{p,q}(X).$$

Deligne develops a mixed Hodge theory for all complex varieties  $X$ , which gives vector spaces  $H^{p,q;i}(X; \mathbb{Q})$  for all  $p, q \geq 0$  (not just for  $p+q=i$ ) whose dimensions (called the **mixed Hodge numbers**  $h^{p,q;i}(X)$ ) satisfy

$$h^i(X) = \sum_{p,q \geq 0} h^{p,q;i}(X).$$

Given  $i$ , if there is an integer  $w$  such that  $h^{p,q;i}(X) = 0$  unless  $p+q=w$ , then we say  $H^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$  is **pure of weight  $w$** . In this terminology, if  $X$  is smooth projective, then  $H^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$  is pure of weight  $i$  for all  $i$ .

## Main Ingredients of Proof: 2. Mixed Hodge Theory

Roughly speaking, the weight  $i$  part of  $H^i(X)$  (i.e.  $\bigoplus_{p+q=i} H^{p,q;i}(X)$ ) remembers the Hodge structure of a “smooth compactification” of  $X$ ; the part of weight  $> i$  is contributed by how far  $X$  is from being compact; the part of weight  $< i$  comes from how far  $X$  is from being smooth.

### Example

Let  $X = \overline{X} - D$ , where  $\overline{X}$  is smooth projective and  $D$  is a smooth closed subvariety of codimension 1.

Then  $H^i(X)$  only has parts of weight  $i$  and  $i + 1$ , with

- 1 The weight  $i$  part is given by the image of  $H^i(\overline{X}) \rightarrow H^i(X)$ .
- 2 The weight  $i + 1$  part is a contribution of  $H^{i-1}(D)$ .

Note that both  $\overline{X}$  and  $D$  has a Hodge decomposition in the classical sense. That's how the mixed Hodge structure of  $X$  is built.

## Main Ingredients of Proof: 3. Purity

$H^i(X)$  is **pure of weight  $w$**  if  $h^{p,q;i} = 0$  if  $p + q \neq w$ .

Purity is often the key property to go from the cut-and-paste combinatorics to the Betti numbers.

### Fact

If  $X$  is smooth and  $H^i(X)$  is pure of weight  $w(i)$ , then the polynomial

$$\sum_i (-1)^i h^i(X) u^{2n-w(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$$

only depends on  $[X] \in K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ .

**Reason behind:** There is a mixed Hodge version of the Euler characteristic that only depends on  $[X]$ , given as an alternating sum of mixed Hodge numbers.

This polynomial is called the **virtual Poincaré polynomial**, denoted  $P^{\text{vir}}(X)$ . It is easy to compute once we know  $[X]$  well.

## Motivating Example

### Theorem (Kim '94)

Let  $X$  be  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $r \geq 0$  points removed. Then the ordered or unordered configuration spaces of  $X$  satisfy purity with weights  $w(i) = 2i$ .

Let  $r = 0$ . Using Vakil–Wood's result to compute  $P^{\text{vir}}(\text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C}))$ , we will arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,n} (-1)^i h^i(\text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C})) u^{2n-2i} t^n &= \sum_n P^{\text{vir}}(\text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C})) t^n \\ &= \frac{1 - u^2 t^2}{1 - u^2 t} \\ &= 1 + u^2 t + (u^4 - u^2) t^2 + (u^6 - u^4) t^3 + (u^8 - u^6) t^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

This implies Arnol'd's result: all the nonzero Betti numbers are

- 1  $h^0(\text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C})) = 1$  for all  $n$ , and
- 2  $h^1(\text{Conf}^n(\mathbb{C})) = 1$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

## Our Purity Result

The crux of our main theorem is the following purity statement:

### Theorem (Cheong–H.)

*Let  $X$  be an elliptic curve with one point removed. Then  $H^i(\text{Conf}^n(X); \mathbb{Q})$  is pure of weight  $w(i)$  with*

$$w(i) = \begin{cases} 3i/2, & i \text{ is even;} \\ (3i - 1)/2, & i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

As a result,

$$\sum_{i,n} (-1)^i h^i(\text{Conf}^n(X)) u^{2n-w(i)} t^n = \frac{(1-ut)^2(1-u^2t^2)}{(1-u^2t)(1-ut^2)^2},$$

giving the main theorem. As another application of the purity, we get all mixed Hodge numbers of  $\text{Conf}^n(X)$ .

## Applications

Given our main theorem, the case of an  $r$ -punctured elliptic curve  $E_r$  can also be understood, using a nice formula (Napolitano '03) that expresses  $H^i(\text{Conf}^n(X - P))$  as a direct sum of  $H^j(\text{Conf}^m(X))$  if  $X$  is smooth *nonprojective* curve and  $P \in X$ .

In fact, the direct sum above can be upgraded to an isomorphism of mixed Hodge structures. Thus we get all  $h^{p,q;i}(\text{Conf}^n(E_r))$  as an application.

Theorem (H., preprint soon)

If  $X$  is a smooth *nonprojective* curve, and  $P$  is a point of  $X$ , then

$$h^{p,q;i}(\text{Conf}^n(X - P)) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} h^{p-t,q-t;i-t}(\text{Conf}^{n-t}(X))$$

I conjecture that an analogous version holds even in high dimension.



# Break

In the rest of the talk, we will focus on the proof of purity of  $\text{Conf}^n(E - P)$ .

## Game plan

$$F(X, n) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n : x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$$

$$\text{Conf}^n(X) := F(X, n)/S_n.$$

From now on, let  $X$  be a one-punctured elliptic curve. To understand the mixed Hodge structure of  $\text{Conf}^n(X)$ , we divide into the following steps.

- 1 Explicitly describe a graded-commutative algebra  $E_2(X, n)$  with generators and relations.
- 2 Explain how to read the mixed Hodge structure of  $\text{Conf}^n(X)$  from  $E_2(X, n)$ .
- 3 Work out the algebra and combinatorics of  $E_2(X, n)$ .

# Outline of Proof: Step 1

## Step 1

Explicitly describe a graded-commutative algebra  $E_2(X, n)$  with generators and relations.

Recall that  $H^*(V; \mathbb{C}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} H^i(V; \mathbb{C})$  is a graded-commutative ring with respect to the cup product, for any topological space  $V$ . We first describe the cohomology ring of the Cartesian product  $X^n$  where  $X = E - P$ .

It turns out that

$$H^*(X^n; \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n] / (x_1 y_1, \dots, x_n y_n)$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[x_i, y_i]$  is the free graded-commutative algebra with generators  $x_i, y_i$  of degree one. Technically,  $\mathbb{C}[x_i, y_i]$  can be constructed as the exterior algebra of the vector space  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}x_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}y_i$ .

# Outline of Proof: Step 1

## Step 1

Explicitly describe a graded-commutative algebra  $E_2(X, n)$  with generators and relations.

The algebra  $E_2(X, n)$  is defined as the graded-commutative algebra over  $H^*(X^n; \mathbb{C})$  generated by formal variables  $g_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ , subject to several explicit relations.

It actually has a **bigrading**: an element of  $H^p(X^n)$  receives the bidegree  $(p, 0)$ , and  $g_{ij}$  has bidegree  $(0, 1)$ .

Thus we have

$$E_2(X, n) = \mathbb{C}[x_i, y_i, g_{ij}] / (\text{relations})$$

with  $\text{bideg}(x_i) = \text{bideg}(y_i) = (1, 0)$  and  $\text{bideg}(g_{ij}) = (0, 1)$

## Outline of Proof: Step 2

### Step 2

Explain how to read the mixed Hodge structure of  $\text{Conf}^n(X)$  from  $E_2(X, n) = \mathbb{C}[x_i, y_i, g_{ij}]/(\text{relations})$ .

The algebra  $E_2(X, n)$  has a **differential map**  $d : E_2(X, n) \rightarrow E_2(X, n)$  given by

- 1  $dx_i = dy_i = 0$
- 2  $dg_{ij} = -x_i y_j - x_j y_i$  (The right hand side here depends on specific geometry of  $X$ .)
- 3 The graded Leibniz rule.

Form a bigraded-commutative algebra  $E_3(X, n)$  by taking the cohomology of  $d$ :

$$E_3(X, n) := \frac{\ker(d)}{\text{im}(d)}$$

## Outline of Proof: Step 2

### Step 2

Explain how to read the mixed Hodge structure of  $\text{Conf}^n(X)$  from  $E_2(X, n) = \mathbb{C}[x_i, y_i, g_{ij}]/(\text{relations})$ .

We need another property special to  $X$ :

### Important Observation

For  $X = E - P$ , we have  $H^i(X)$  is pure of weight  $i$ . We remark that this property is not true for  $E$  minus two or more points.

By a theorem of Totaro '96 and the observation above, we have

### Description

As graded-commutative algebras,  $H^*(F(X, n)) \cong E_3(X, n)$ . Moreover, the weight  $p + 2q$  part of  $H^{p+q}(F(X, n))$  is the bidegree  $(p, q)$  component of  $E_3(X, n)$ .

## Outline of Proof: Step 2

### Step 2

Explain how to read the mixed Hodge structure of  $\text{Conf}^n(X)$  from  $E_2(X, n) = \mathbb{C}[x_i, y_i, g_{ij}]/(\text{relations})$ .

Taking  $S_n$  invariants on both sides of  $H^*(F(X, n)) \cong E_3(X, n)$ , we get  $H^*(\text{Conf}^n(X)) \cong E_3(X, n)^{S_n}$ . We will show that

### Key Proposition

$E_3(X, n)^{S_n}$  is concentrated at bidegrees  $(p, q)$  with  $p - q = 0$  or  $1$ .

The purity theorem then follows, recalling that the bidegree  $(p, q)$  contributes to the weight  $p + 2q$  part of  $H^{p+q}$ . Intuitive explanation next page!

## Outline of Proof: Step 2

$$H^*(\text{Conf}^n(X)) \cong E_3(X, n)^{S_n}$$

$$p - q = 0 \text{ or } 1, \quad i = p + q, \quad w = p + 2q$$

Note how we read  $w(i) = 0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, \dots$  from the table.

5	10	11	12	13	14	15
4	8	9	10	11	12	13
3	6	7	8	9	10	11
2	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	1	2	3	4	5
$q/p$	0	1	2	3	4	5

Weight distribution of  $E_3(X, n)^{S_n}$  by bidegrees  
 Contribution to  $H^3$  in blue



## Outline of Proof: Step 3

### Step 3

Work out the algebra and combinatorics of  $E_2(X, n)$  and prove the key proposition.

**Recall:**  $E_2(X, n) = \mathbb{C}[x_i, y_i, g_{ij}] / (\text{relations})$ .

Some of the relations are  $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$ ,  $g_{ij}x_i = g_{ij}x_j$  and  $g_{ij}y_i = g_{ij}y_j$ , so we can introduce the notation

$$x_{ij} := g_{ij}x_i = g_{ij}x_j$$

$$y_{ij} := g_{ij}y_i = g_{ij}y_j$$

**Recall:**  $E_3(X, n) = \frac{\ker(d)}{\text{im}(d)} \Big|_{E_2(X, n)}$  and the goal is about  $E_3(X, n)^{S_n}$ .

Introduce the linear operator  $e_n := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma$ . Since  $V^{S_n} = e_n(V)$  for any  $S_n$  representation  $V$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we will use this operator to construct a generating set for  $E_3(X, n)^{S_n}$ .

## Outline of Proof: Step 3

### Lemma

$E_3(X, n)^{S_n}$  is generated by elements of the form

$$e_n(g_{i_1 i_2}^r x_j^{s_1} y_k^{s_2} x_{J_1} \cdots x_{J_b} y_{K_1} \cdots y_{K_c}) \pmod{\text{im}(d)}$$

where  $|J_\gamma| = |K_\gamma| = 2$ , all the lower indices are distinct, and  $(r, s_1, s_2) \in \{0, 1\}^3 - \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1)\}$ .

### Remarks.

- 1 The first step is to show that  $E_2(X, n)^{S_n}$  is generated by elements of the same form, except that  $(r, s_1, s_2)$  ranges over  $\{0, 1\}^3$ .
- 2 The differential of these generators are easy to describe because  $dx_{ij} = dy_{ij} = 0$ . No longer true if  $X$  were projective!

One can check that all these generators have bidegree  $(p, q)$  with  $p - q = 0$  or  $1$ , by directly checking the six choices of  $(r, s_1, s_2)$ . This finishes the proof of everything.

## Remarks about Results

- 1 Unlike in the case of (punctured) affine line, the *ordered* configuration spaces of  $X$  has no purity. (Taking  $S_n$  invariants is required.)
- 2 If  $X$  is a punctured curve of genus at least 2, or an elliptic curve with at least 2 punctures, then there is no purity result for  $\text{Conf}^n(X)$ .
- 3 The same proof shows the purity for the Galois module  $H^*(\text{Conf}^n(X); \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ , where  $X$  is a one-punctured elliptic curve over a finite field.
- 4 We wonder if anything can be said about  $H^i(\text{Conf}^n(X); \mathbb{Z})$ . They are known to have torsion.

## Further Work

- 1 I expect that the method works for a one-punctured smooth projective variety  $X$  in a way nicer than general, because the top cohomology of  $X$  vanishes (for being nonprojective) while the mixed Hodge structure of  $X$  is still pure. The next case to try is higher genus curves.
- 2 Again, one can understand the multi-punctured case using the one-punctured case if the following conjecture holds:

Conjecture (H.) and Theorem (H.) if  $\dim X = 1$

If  $X$  is a smooth *nonprojective* variety, and  $P$  is a point of  $X$ , then

$$h^{p,q;i}(\mathrm{Conf}^n(X - P)) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} h^{p-dt, q-dt; i-kt}(\mathrm{Conf}^{n-t}(X)),$$

where  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$  and  $k = 2d - 1$ .

# Summary

- ① We found a coherent way to understand all the Betti numbers of configuration spaces of a one-punctured torus at once. Thus also for multi-punctured torus.
- ② The cut-and-paste of configuration spaces are well-known, and the mixed Hodge theory can take advantage of it.
- ③ The story for nonprojective varieties are somehow very different from the projective case because nonprojective varieties have more vanishings in the cohomology.

For the case of smooth *projective* curves, see R. Pagaria's "Coh. of conf. spaces of surfaces" where mixed Hodge numbers are computed.

# Thank you!

For more details, please refer to

- 1 G. Cheong and Y. Huang, *Rationality for the Betti numbers of the unordered configuration spaces of a punctured torus*, arXiv:2009.07976